

**RFQ NO. 18-010**

**PROFESSIONAL AUDITING SERVICES**

Audit Committee Meeting (04/23/18)

Written Evaluations

<b>FIRMS Score</b>	<b>Jewel Cannada-Wynn</b>	<b>Sherri. F. Myers</b>	<b>Brian Spencer</b>	<b>Andy Terhaar</b>	<b>Gerald Wingate</b>	<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>	<b>RANK</b>
Carr, Riggs & Ingram, LLC	57	45	63	66	77	<b>308</b>	<b>N/A*</b>
Mauldin & Jenkins, LLC	84	92	85	79	84	<b>424</b>	<b>3</b>
Moore Stephens Lovelace, P.A.	77	87	79	76	85	<b>404</b>	<b>4</b>
Saltmarsh, Cleaveland & Gund, P.A.	88	88	93	81	85	<b>435</b>	<b>2</b>
Warren Averett, LLC	86	93	94	74	89	<b>436</b>	<b>1</b>

Motion: Jewel Cannada-Wynn made a motioned to approve ranking by the committee.

Seconded: Andy Terhaar seconded the motion.

Vote: Unanimous

Note: Larry B. Johnson and P.C. Wu did not attend

\*Audit Committee voted to consider top four firms

**RFQ NO. 18-010**

**PROFESSIONAL AUDITING SERVICES**

Audit Committee Meeting (04/23/18)

Written Evaluations

**Evaluation Criteria Points**

<b><u>Jewel Cannada-Wynn</u></b>	<b>CRI</b>	<b>MJ</b>	<b>MSL</b>	<b>SCG</b>	<b>WA</b>
1. Gov't audit experience	12	18	18	18	18
2. Defined Benefit Pension	2	5	3	4	3
3. Housing Assistance	3	5	4	5	5
4. Enterprise Funds	3	5	3	5	5
5. Quality	13	12	12	15	13
6. Size, Organization, Location	4	3	4	5	5
7. Adequacy of approach	12	18	15	18	18
8. Demonstrated understanding	3	5	4	5	4
9. Familiarity with CAFR	5	5	5	5	5
10. MBE/WBE/SBE	0	0	0	0	0
11. VBE	0	0	0	0	0
12. Cost/Fee Proposal*	/	8	9	8	10
	<b>57</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>86</b>

\*Points were assigned by vote of the committee

**RFQ NO. 18-010**

**PROFESSIONAL AUDITING SERVICES**

Audit Committee Meeting (04/23/18)

Written Evaluations

**Evaluation Criteria Points**

**Sherri F. Myers**

	<b>CRI</b>	<b>MJ</b>	<b>MSL</b>	<b>SCG</b>	<b>WA</b>
1. Gov't audit experience	10	19	15	15	18
2. Defined Benefit Pension	0	5	5	5	5
3. Housing Assistance	0	5	5	5	5
4. Enterprise Funds	1	5	5	5	5
5. Quality	15	15	15	15	15
6. Size, Organization, Location	3	5	5	5	5
7. Adequacy of approach	10	20	18	20	20
8. Demonstrated understanding	1	5	5	5	5
9. Familiarity with CAFR	5	5	5	5	5
10. MBE/WBE/SBE	0	0	0	0	0
11. VBE	0	0	0	0	0
12. Cost/Fee Proposal*	/	8	9	8	10
	<b>45</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>93</b>

\*Points were assigned by vote of the committee

RFQ NO. 18-010

PROFESSIONAL AUDITING SERVICES

Audit Committee Meeting (04/23/18)

Written Evaluations

Evaluation Criteria Points

<u>Brian Spencer</u>	<b>CRI</b>	<b>MJ</b>	<b>MSL</b>	<b>SCG</b>	<b>WA</b>
1. Gov't audit experience	15	20	20	20	20
2. Defined Benefit Pension	0	5	5	5	5
3. Housing Assistance	5	5	5	5	5
4. Enterprise Funds	5	5	3	5	5
5. Quality	10	10	10	15	15
6. Size, Organization, Location	3	2	2	5	4
7. Adequacy of approach	15	20	15	20	20
8. Demonstrated understanding	5	5	5	5	5
9. Familiarity with CAFR	5	5	5	5	5
10. MBE/WBE/SBE	0	0	0	0	0
11. VBE	0	0	0	0	0
12. Cost/Fee Proposal*	/	8	9	8	10
	<b>63</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>94</b>

\*Points were assigned by vote of the committee

RFQ NO. 18-010

PROFESSIONAL AUDITING SERVICES

Audit Committee Meeting (04/23/18)

Written Evaluations

Evaluation Criteria Points

**Andy Terhaar**

	<b>CRI</b>	<b>MJ</b>	<b>MSL</b>	<b>SCG</b>	<b>WA</b>
1. Gov't audit experience	17	15	15	12	17
2. Defined Benefit Pension	2	5	5	5	2
3. Housing Assistance	2	5	4	4	4
4. Enterprise Funds	2	5	3	5	5
5. Quality	15	13	13	15	10
6. Size, Organization, Location	3	3	3	5	5
7. Adequacy of approach	18	15	16	17	13
8. Demonstrated understanding	5	5	5	5	5
9. Familiarity with CAFR	2	5	3	5	3
10. MBE/WBE/SBE	0	0	0	0	0
11. VBE	0	0	0	0	0
12. Cost/Fee Proposal*	/	8	9	8	10
	<b>66</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>74</b>

\*Points were assigned by vote of the committee

RFQ NO. 18-010

PROFESSIONAL AUDITING SERVICES

Audit Committee Meeting (04/23/18)

Written Evaluations

Evaluation Criteria Points

<u>Gerald Wingate</u>	<b>CRI</b>	<b>MJ</b>	<b>MSL</b>	<b>SCG</b>	<b>WA</b>
1. Gov't audit experience	17	18	17	18	19
2. Defined Benefit Pension	5	5	5	5	5
3. Housing Assistance	5	5	5	5	5
4. Enterprise Funds	5	5	5	5	5
5. Quality	12	14	14	14	15
6. Size, Organization, Location	5	5	5	5	5
7. Adequacy of approach	18	14	15	15	15
8. Demonstrated understanding	5	5	5	5	5
9. Familiarity with CAFR	5	5	5	5	5
10. MBE/WBE/SBE	0	0	0	0	0
11. VBE	0	0	0	0	0
12. Cost/Fee Proposal*	/	8	9	8	10
	<b>77</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>89</b>

\*Points were assigned by vote of the committee