	Client File #:	T6100	Appraisal File #: RL18017X-E							
	Summary Appraisal Report • Residential									
AI Reports [™]	Appraisal Company									
Form 100.04	Phone: 850-477-	0419 Fax:	Website:							
Appraiser: Rodger K. Lowery			Co-Appraiser:							
Al Membership (if any): SRA		SRPA	Al Membership (if any): SRA MAI SRPA							
	for Designation	☐ Practicing Affiliate	Al Status (if any): Candidate for Designation Practicing Affiliate							
Other Professional Affiliation:			Other Professional Affiliation:							
E-mail: RLowery@FLAG1.N	Net		E-mail:							
Client: City of Pensacola			Contact: Mr. Michael B. Laven, CPA, A.A.E.							
Address: 2430 Airport Bouleva		ısacola, Fl 32504								
Phone: 850-436-5000	Fax:		E-mail:							
SUBJECT PROPERTY IDENT	IFICATION									
Address: 6100 Tippin Ave			A							
City: Pensacola		County: Escambia	State: FL ZIP: 32504							
Legal Description: Lengthy le	gal description inc	cluded in the Addendum	•							
Tax Parcel #: 01-2449-000			RE Taxes: 364.82 Tax Year: 2017							
Use of the Real Estate As of the Dat	e of Value:	Single Family Res	dential							
Use of the Real Estate Reflected in	the Appraisal:	Single Family Res	dential							
Opinion of highest and best use (if		Single-Family Res	idential							
SUBJECT PROPERTY HISTO										
	and Mary E. Tho									
Description and analysis of sales w										
			acquisition by the City of Pensacola, under specific guidelines of the FAA so as to minimize the value impact of previous acquisitions in the project							
area.	ansactions taken (outside the project area	so as to minimize the value impact of previous acquisitions in the project							
Description and analysis of agreem appraisal is being prepared for	•		No agreement for sale was presented to the appraiser. This ing the subject for the Airport Commerce Park.							
RECONCILIATIONS AND CO	NCLUSIONS									
Indication of Value by Sales Compa	•••		\$ 120,000							
Indication of Value by Cost Approac	ch		\$ 50,000							
Indication of Value by Income Appr	oach		\$ N/A							
Final Reconciliation of the Methods and Approaches to Value: The subject property is a single-family residential home that is in average condition. Although many properties in the subject's neighborhood are tenant occupied, the primary valuation method used by investors is the sales comparison approach. Considering this, only the sales comparison approach was utilized in valuing the subject. Based upon a direct comparison of the subject to recently sold competing properties, the sales comparison approach reflected a value for the subject as-is of \$120,000. Assuming the lot were vacant with no improvements, the lot value would be approximately \$50,000.										
Opinion of Value as of:	2/13/2018		\$ 120,000							
Exposure Time: 6 months										
The above opinion is subject	to: 🗌 Hypothe	tical Conditions and	/or 🗵 Extraordinary Assumptions cited on the following page.							

^{*} NOTICE: The Appraisal Institute publishes this form for use by appraisers where the appraiser deems use of the form appropriate. Depending on the assignment, the appraiser may need to provide additional data, analysis and work product not called for in this form. The Appraisal Institute plays no role in completing the form and disclaims any responsibility for the data, analysis or any other work product provided by the individual appraiser(s).

Al Reports® Al-100.04 Summary Appraisal Report · Residential

© Appraisal Institute 2013, All Rights Reserved

January 201

Client:	City of Pensacola	Client File #:	T6100
Subject Property:	6100 Tippin Ave, Pensacola, FL 32504	Appraisal File #:	RL18017X-E

ASSIGNMENT PARAMETERS	
Intended User(s): City of Pensacola - Pensacola International Airport	
Intended Use: For use by the client for the purpose of acquiring property	-
This report is not intended by the appraiser for any other use or by any other use	r.
	ffective Date of Value: 2/13/2018
Interest Appraised: 🛛 Fee Simple 🔲 Leasehold 🔲 Other	
Hypothetical Conditions: (A hypothetical condition is that which is contrary to analysis. Any hypothetical condition may affect the assignment results.)	to what exists, but is asserted by the appraiser for the purpose of
Extraordinary Assumptions: (An extraordinary assumption is directly related If found to be false this assumption could alter the appraiser's opinions or conclusion	
This value reported is based upon the extraordinary assumption that all pub	
upon the extraordinary assumption that there are no structural deficiencies	that would negatively impact the use of the residence.
In accordance with Standard Rule 2–2(b) of the Uniform Standard of Professional	Approical Dractice /(ISDAD), this is a summary appraisal report
SCOPE OF WORK	Appraisal Practice (USPAP), tilis is a sullilliary appraisal report.
Definition: The scope of work is the type and extent of research and analyst property is identified, the extent to which tangible property is inspected, the applied to arrive at credible opinions or conclusions. The specific scope of v	type and extent of data research, and the type and extent of analysis work for this assignment is identified below and throughout this report.
Scope of Subject Property Inspection/Data Sources Utilized	Approaches to Value Developed
Appraiser	Cost Approach:
Property Inspection: Yes No	☐ Is necessary for credible results and is developed in this analysis ☐ Is not necessary for credible results; not developed in this analysis
Date of Inspection: 2/13/2018	☐ Is not necessary for credible results; not developed in this analysis
Describe scope of Property Inspection, Source of Area Calculations and Data Sources Consulted: Inspected the interior and exterior of the	,
property and measured the improvements. Used the ECPA website to	
determine land size. Resources used were Pensacola MLS and public	Sales Comparison Approach:
records.	 Is necessary for credible results and is developed in this analysis Is not necessary for credible results; not developed in this analysis
Co-Appraiser	Is not necessary for credible results but is developed in this analysis
Property Inspection: Yes No	•
Date of Inspection:	In the American
Describe scope of Property Inspection, Source of Area Calculations	Income Approach: Is necessary for credible results and is developed in this analysis
and Data Sources Consulted:	□ Is necessary for credible results; not developed in this analysis □ Is not necessary for credible results but is developed in this analysis □ Is not necessary for credible results but is developed in this analysis
Additional Scope of Work Comments: I inspected the interior and exterior competing neighborhoods. I researched comparable improved residential sa comparison approach to determine the current market value of the property lot was vacant.	
Significant Real Property Appraisal Assistance: None Disclose Nam	ne(s) and contribution:

^{*} NOTICE: The Appraisal Institute publishes this form for use by appraisers where the appraiser deems use of the form appropriate. Depending on the assignment, the appraiser may need to provide additional data, analysis and work product not called for in this form. The Appraisal Institute plays no role in completing the form and disclaims any responsibility for the data, analysis or any other work product provided by the individual appraiser(s).

Al Reports® Al-100.04 Summary Appraisal Report · Residential

© Appraisal Institute 2013, All Rights Reserved

January 201

T6100

Client File #:

Subject Property:	6100 Tippin A	ve, Pensacola, FL	32504		Appraisal File #	# :	RL18017X-E		
MARKET AREA ANAI	VSIS								
Location	Built Up	Growt	h	Supply & Demand	Value Tre	nd	Typical Marke	ting Time	
⊠ Urban	Under 25%	<u> </u>		☐ Shortage	⊠ Increasing		Under 3 Month		
Suburban	☐ 25-75%	⊠ Stab	ole	☐ In Balance	☐ Stable		\boxtimes 3-6 Months		
☐ Rural		☐ Slow		☐ Over Supply	☐ Decreasi		Over 6 Months		
Neighborhood Sin	gle Family P	rofile	Neighborho	ood Land Use	Neighborh	•	ne: Airport C	ommerce	
Price		Age			Park				
	wc	40 1 Family		Commercial 20%	_	ondo 🗆 I	HOA: \$	1	
	gh	60 Condo		Vacant 5%					
80 Predo	minant	50 Multifan	nily <u>5%</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
includes the Scenic Heig These two residential no proximity to Cordova M	ghts and Colleg eighborhoods I Mall, Pensacola subdivision hav	ge Heights resident reflect a similar mix a State College, Sac	ial neighborhood x of owner-occup cred Heart Hosp	the airport to the south, ds which appear to have pancy and tenant occupi pital as well as numerous g purchase of properties	been develope ed residences. other office, 1	ed during The neig retail and	the same time for thoorhood is local restaurant prop	rame. ited in close erties.	
SITE ANALYSIS									
Dimensions: 80' x	284.5			Area: 22,760 Sq.Ft.					
View: Commercial				Shape: Rectangular					
	to be adequat			Utility: Average					
Site Similarity/Conf	<u>formity To N</u>	leighborhood		Zoning/Deed Restr	riction				
Size:		/iew:		Zoning: COM, Comn	iercial,		ts, Condition & Re	strictions	
☐ Smaller than Typical		☐ Favorable		MDR, Medium Densit	y Residential	☐ Yes	oxtimes No $oxtimes$ Unl	known	
				$oxed{oxed}$ Legal $oxed{\Box}$ No zon	ing	Documer	nts Reviewed		
☐ Larger than Typical		Less than Favorab	ble	Legal, non-conformi	ng	☐ Yes	⊠ No		
			,,,		•	Ground F	Rent \$	/	
Utilities				Off Site Improvem	ents		•		
Electric 🖂 Pu	blic			Street	olic Priva	te			
Gas ⊠ Pu	blic 🗌 Other			Alley 🗆 Pul	olic 🗆 Priva	te			
Water ⊠ Pu				Sidewalk		-			
Sewer \boxtimes Pu		-		_					
Sewer 🖂 Pu	DIIC Utilei			Street Lights	DIIC L PIIVA				
have good utility. No ad HIGHEST AND BEST	ippin Avenue, s lverse site cond USE ANALY Proposed Use	south of Langley A litions were noted o	venue and west o	roximate 22,760 square of the Pensacola Internaction.	tional Airport	. The site	is level and appo	ears to	

Client:

City of Pensacola

^{*} NOTICE: The Appraisal Institute publishes this form for use by appraisers where the appraiser deems use of the form appropriate. Depending on the assignment, the appraiser may need to provide additional data, analysis and work product not called for in this form. The Appraisal Institute plays no role in completing the form and disclaims any responsibility for the data, analysis or any other work product provided by the individual appraiser(s).

Al Reports® Al-100.04 Summary Appraisal Report · Residential

© Appraisal Institute 2013, All Rights Reserved

January 201

Client:	City of Pensacola	Client File #:	T6100
Subject Property:	6100 Tippin Ave, Pensacola, FL 32504	Appraisal File #:	RL18017X-E

IMPROVEMENT				4								
General		Design: Ranc			Units: 1		Stories: 1		Actual Age: 6			Age: 35
	nder Con	nstruction [Proposed	Att	tached	∠ De'	tached	L	Manufactu	ıred	☐ Modu	ılar
Other:												
Exterior Eleme	ents		Composite Sl	hingle			inyl			Windows:		ane Aluminum
□ Patio □ Deck □ Porch Enclosed Rear □ Pool □ Fence												
	Other: Cvd. Porch, Encloased Laundry on rear porch											
Interior Elemen			Γile/Carpet				ood Panel			Fireplace		
Kitchen: 🗵 Refrig	•	⊠ Range	⊠ Oven □] Fan/Ho	<u>rod ∐ Mi</u>	licrowave	☐ Dishwa	asher C	Countertops:	Vinyl La	minate	
Other: Wood Cabi										_		
Foundation	Į.		e Wood	Sub-Floo	or L	Slab				■ Basemen	t	
Other:	1											
Attic	L		Scuttle			☐ Drop Stair		!	Stairway		_	
Mechanicals		HVAC: Wind	low Units			uel: Elect	ric			Air Condition		
Car Storage		□ Driveway		L	Garage			☐ Carport			Finished	
Other Element	S]	Detached 12'	' x 20' Worl	kshop wi	th 6' x 8' I	Porch, Def	tached 12'	x 20' W	orkshop wi	th 12' x 12'	Covered 1	Porch
Above Grade C	Troce I	ising Aro	a (CTA)									
Above Grade C	Living		Kitchen	Den	Family	Rec.	Bdrms	# Bath	ns Utility	Othe	er	Area Sq. Ft.
Level 1	1	Area	1		1 41	1100.	3	2	3 0		<i>J</i> 1	1,280
Level 2												
Finished area above	grade c	ontains:	Bedroom(s)): 3		Bath	h(s): 2			GLA: 1,2	80	
Summarize Above G	3rade lm	provements:	The im	proveme	ents appea	r to be in	average co	ondition	based upon	my inspect	ion.	
		•										
Below Grade A	01	Other An										
Below Graue A	Living		Kitchen	Den	Family	Rec.	Bdrms	# Bath:	ns Utility	% Finis	shad	Area Sq. Ft.
Below Grade	Living	3 Dining	Kitchch	Den	Talliny	IXCC.	Dums	# Dam	S Ounty	/01/1111	siicu	Area Sq. Ft.
Other Area												
Valvi I							<u> </u>					
Summarize below g	rade and	l/or other area	improvemer	nts:								
			-									
Bi- arra mbuningi da			l ar aviorn	-! -baala			1			· -4iam	24	
Discuss physical de condition of the pr	-							nterior :	and exterior	r inspection	of the pro	operty the physical
Colluition or the p.	fuperty	Is average	Ith an circo.	live age .	ու ահեւռա-	Illatery oc	-ytais.					
Discuss style, qualit	-		-			-	-			=	_	home built in 1956
_				The imp	provement	is are cons	idered to	be avera	age quality i	n fair to ave	erage conc	dition. Overall the
effective age is est	imated	to be 35 year	rs.									

^{*} NOTICE: The Appraisal Institute publishes this form for use by appraisers where the appraiser deems use of the form appropriate. Depending on the assignment, the appraiser may need to provide additional data, analysis and work product not called for in this form. The Appraisal Institute plays no role in completing the form and disclaims any responsibility for the data, analysis or any other work product provided by the individual appraiser(s).

Al Reports® Al-100.04 Summary Appraisal Report · Residential

© Appraisal Institute 2013, All Rights Reserved

January 201

Client:	City of Pensacola	Client File #:	T6100
Subject Property:	6100 Tippin Ave, Pensacola, FL 32504	Appraisal File #:	RL18017X-E

SITE VALUATION									
Site Valuation Methodology									
Sales Comparison Approach: A set of procedures in which a value indication is derived by comparing the property being appraised to similar properties that have been sold recently, then applying appropriate units of comparison and making adjustments to the sale prices of the comparables based on the elements of comparison. The sales comparison approach may be used to value improved properties, vacant land, or land being considered as though vacant; it is the most common and preferred method of land valuation when an adequate supply of comparable sales are available.									
Market Extraction: A method of estimating land value in which the depreciated cost of the improvements on the improved property is estimated and deducted from the total sale price to arrive at an estimated sale price for the land; most effective when the improvements contribute little to the total sale price of the property.									
☐ Alternative Method	· /Describe method	alamy and valianala)							
☐ Afternative Method	. (Describe method	ology and rationale)							
Site Valuation									
ITEM	SUBJECT	COMPAR	ISON 1	COMPAR	ISON 2	COMPARI	SON 3		
Address 6100 Tippin A		6005 College Park		500 West Burgess		1512 East Burgess			
Pensacola, FL		Pensacola, Fl 3250	-	Pensacola, Fl 3250		Pensacola, Fl 3250			
Proximity to Subject		,		•		,			
Data Source/		CMLS #2246370		CMLS #2232665		CMLS #2004986			
Verification		Public Records		Public Records		Public Records			
Sales Price	\$		\$ 60,000		\$ 30,000		\$ 29,900		
Price / Sq.Ft.	\$		\$ 1.51		\$ 1.17		\$ 1.01		
Sale Date		8/24/2017		5/1/2017		8/29/2014			
Location	College Heights	Broadview		Burgess Rd.	+15,000	Burgess Rd.	+15,000		
Site Size	22,760 Sq.Ft.	39,640 SF	-5,000	25,700 SF		29,621 SF			
Site View	Commercial	Comemrcial		Commercial		Commercial			
Site Improvements	Assumed Vacant	Vacant		Vacant		Vacant			
Net Adjustment		□+ ⋈-	\$ -5,000	⊠+	\$ 15,000	⊠+ □-	\$ 15,000		
		Net Adj. 8.3 %		Net Adj. 50.0 %		Net Adj. 50.2%			
Indicated Value		Gross Adj. 8.3 %		Gross Adj. 50.0 %		Gross Adj. 50.2%	\$ 44,900		
Prior Transfer None noted thistory	three years prior.	None noted prior t	hree years.	None noted three y	years prior.	None noted three y	ears prior.		
Site Valuation Comments:	The three comp	parable vacant land	sales were the	best available for c	omparison wit	h the subject. Comp	arables two		
and three were adjusted u	pward to compare	to the superior loca	tion of the subj	ect and comparabl	e one and com	parable one was adj	usted		
downward to compare to	-			-		ıbject to the three c	omparable		
lots, and assuming the sub	ject was vacant land	d, it is my opinion th	nat the value of	the lot would be \$5	50,000.				
Site Valuation Reconciliation:	Based upor	n a comparison of th	ne subject parc	el (assuming it was	vacant land) to	the comparable, I	would		
Site Valuation Reconciliation: Based upon a comparison of the subject parcel (assuming it was vacant land) to the comparable, I would reconcile the subject's lot value at \$50,000.									
Opinion of Site Value			\$ 5	50,000					

^{*} NOTICE: The Appraisal Institute publishes this form for use by appraisers where the appraiser deems use of the form appropriate. Depending on the assignment, the appraiser may need to provide additional data, analysis and work product not called for in this form. The Appraisal Institute plays no role in completing the form and disclaims any responsibility for the data, analysis or any other work product provided by the individual appraiser(s).

Client:	City of Pensacola	Client File #:	T6100
Subject Property:	6100 Tippin Ave. Pensacola, FL 32504	Appraisal File #:	RL18017X-E

COST APPROACH			
Cost Approach Definitions			
Reproduction Cost is the estimated cost to construct, at current prices as appraised, using the same materials, construction standards, design, layout, and q superadequacies, and obsolescence of the subject building.	of the effective appraisal date, an exact duality of workmanship, and embodying all	uplicate or replica of the building of the deficiencies,	being
Replacement Cost is the estimated cost to construct, at current prices as being appraised, using modern materials and current standards, design and layout	of the effective appraisal date, a building v	vith utility equivalent to the build	ing
Cost Approach Analysis			
Estimated Cost New			
Above Grade Living Area	1,280 Sq. Ft @ \$	=\$	
Finished Below Grade Area	Sq. Ft @ \$	=\$	
Unfinished Below Grade Area	Sq. Ft @ \$	=\$	
Other Area	Sq. Ft @ \$	=\$	
Car Storage	252 Sq. Ft @ \$	=\$	
•		\$	
		\$	
		\$	
Total Estimated Cost New		\$	
Less Depreciation			
Physical	58.33 % = \$		
Functional	% = \$		
External	% = \$		
Total Depreciation	\$		
Depreciated Value of Improvements		\$	
Contributory Value of Site Improvements		\$	
, ,		\$	
		\$	
		\$	
Opinion of Site Value		\$	50,000
Indicated Value		\$	50,000
Cost Approach Comments (Data Sources, Depreciation Basis, Site Value, Etc.):		'	
Cost Approach Reconciliation:			
Indication of Value by Cost Approach	\$ 50,000		_

^{*} NOTICE: The Appraisal Institute publishes this form for use by appraisers where the appraiser deems use of the form appropriate. Depending on the assignment, the appraiser may need to provide additional data, analysis and work product not called for in this form. The Appraisal Institute plays no role in completing the form and disclaims any responsibility for the data, analysis or any other work product provided by the individual appraiser(s).

Al Reports® Al-100.04 Summary Appraisal Report · Residential

© Appraisal Institute 2013, All Rights Reserved

January 201

Client:	City of Pensacola	Client File #:	T6100
Subject Property:	6100 Tippin Ave, Pensacola, FL 32504	Appraisal File #:	RL18017X-E

INCOME APPROACH											
Market Rent Analysis											
ITEM	SUBJ	ECT	R	RENTA	L 1	R	ENTA	L 2	F	RENTA	L 3
Address 6100 Tippin A	ve										
Pensacola, FL	32504										
Proximity to Subject											
Data Source/											
Verification											
Lease Term											
Date of Lease											
Rent /	\$				\$			\$			\$
Rent Concession											
Less Utilities											
Less											
Adjusted Market Rent					\$			\$			\$
Location	College He	eights			,						
Site/View											
Quality of Construction	Average										
Age	62, Eff. 35										
Condition	Average										
Above Grade Bedrooms	Bedrooms	3	Bedrooms			Bedrooms			Bedrooms		
Above Grade Baths	Baths	2	Baths			Baths			Baths		
Gross Living Area		0 Sq.Ft.	Julio	Sq.Ft.		240	Sq.Ft.		Julio	Sq.Ft.	
Below Grade Area	1,20	Sq.Ft.		Sq.Ft.			Sq.Ft.			Sq.Ft.	
Other Area		Sq.Ft.		Sq.Ft.			Sq.Ft.			Sq.Ft.	
Heating/Cooling	Window U			oq.rt.			oq.rt.			эч.г.	
Car Storage	William U	ш									
Cai Storage											
	-										
Net Adjustment			П.		\$	П. Г		\$	П.	—	\$
Net Aujustillent	-		Net Adj.	<u></u>		+ Net Adj.			□ + Net Adj.	<u></u>	
Indicated Market Rent			Gross Adj.	% %		Gross Adj.		\$	Gross Adj.	% %	
Rent comparable analysis and	d reconciliati	ion of ma				GIUSS AUJ.	76	ÞΦ	GIUSS AUJ.	70	Ā
Them comparable analysis and	u i economati	.on or ma	iketientoi s	ubject þi	operty.						
Opinion of Market Rent								\$			
Gross Rent Multiplier	Analysis							•			
ADDRI			D.	ATE	SALE PRICE	E GROSS F	FNT	GRM		COMME	NTS
ADDRI	200			AIL	SALETRICI	J GROSS I	12111	GKW	,	OWINIE	1115
Comment and reconciliation of	of the areas i	ront multi	nlior (CDM).								
Comment and reconciliation of	or the gross r	ent muit	piler (Grivi):								
Opinion of Market Rent:	\$		х		GRM	= \$					
Indication of Value by Income Approach \$ N/A											

^{*} NOTICE: The Appraisal Institute publishes this form for use by appraisers where the appraiser deems use of the form appropriate. Depending on the assignment, the appraiser may need to provide additional data, analysis and work product not called for in this form. The Appraisal Institute plays no role in completing the form and disclaims any responsibility for the data, analysis or any other work product provided by the individual appraiser(s).

Al Reports® Al-100.04 Summary Appraisal Report · Residential

© Appraisal Institute 2013, All Rights Reserved

January 201

Client:	City of Pensacola	Client File #:	T6100
Subject Property:	6100 Tippin Ave, Pensacola, FL 32504	Appraisal File #:	RL18017X-E

SUBJECT 04	COMPARI 3256 Creighton Rd Pensacola, FL 3256 1.18 miles NE MLS #525235 Public Records		Pensacola 0.70 miles MLS #526	, FL 325 W 6704		7140 Mell Pensacola 0.92 miles	, FL 325 N		
%	Pensacola, FL 3250 1.18 miles NE MLS #525235 Public Records)4	Pensacola 0.70 miles MLS #526	, FL 325 W 6704	04	Pensacola 0.92 miles	, FL 325 N	04	
%	1.18 miles NE MLS #525235 Public Records		0.70 miles MLS #526	W 5704	04	0.92 miles	N	04	
	MLS #525235 Public Records	\$ 100,000	MLS #526	5704					
	Public Records	\$ 100,000				MLS #511	=00		
		\$ 100,000	Public Red	Public Records		MLS #511799			
		\$ 100,000		cords		Public Re	cords		
					\$ 88,800			\$ 11	10,000
		\$ 105,000			\$ 88,800			\$ 11	18,000
		\$ 110,000			\$ 88,800			\$ 10	9,000
		110.0 %			100.0 %			9	99.1 9
%		104.8 %			100.0 %			9	92.4 9
	2/16/2018		1/29/2018			12/26/201	7		
	41		25			290			
	\$ 91.90		\$	80.73		\$	88.83		
ESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) Adjustment	DESCRI	PTION	+(-) Adjustment	DESCRI	PTION	+(-) Adju	stment
h to Seller	FHA		FHA			Conventio	nal		
ie	None noted		None note	d.		None Note	ed		
	12/9/2017		12/8/2017			11/11/201	7		
lege Heights			Pine Mead	dows	+5,000			+	-2,000
	_	+10,000							10,000
	•	120,000	-	al/Avg.	10,000	-	l/Avg.		,
				· · · · · ·			h'		
				 {					
	•			,					
				3			3		
					+3 000				
_	_	+1 250							+800
-	•	. 1,200		,	12,700		., oq		
-									
	TVOIC		Tione			Tione			
rage	Average		Average			Average			
		-2 000			-2 000				-2,000
				raga			nort		-1,000
-	-				-2,000		port		-1,000 -3,500
	rence/1 or ches	13,000		1 UI CII		rence			3,300
et. Wikshop			WIKSHOP						
	M_	¢ 10.250	Mi	<u> </u>	¢ 16700	Mi	<u> </u>	ė 1	13,300
		5 10,230					12.2%	-	.3,300
	•	e 120.250							12 20/
		\$ 120,230							22,30
ree years prior	0/29/2017 \$00,000		None note	u 3 years	s prior.	None note	u 3 years	s prior.	
		0 1111	L		e 11 · ·				
•	• •								
		-	_	-				-	
	_	=	ı range of S	3103,300	tu \$144,300. V	vitii adout	equai we	igni to e	acn
subject 8 currer	и шагкет уаше ат э	140,000.							
	h to Seller dege Heights 60 Sq.Ft. Inmercial/Avg. ach/Avg. rage Eff. 35 rage rooms 3 Is 2 1,280 Sq.Ft. In the seller In the selle	\$ 91.90 DESCRIPTION h to Seller FHA Re None noted 12/9/2017 Rege Heights Eastgate 160 Sq.Ft. 9,600 SF Inmercial/Avg. Residential/Avg. Rech/Avg. Ranch/Avg. Ranch/Avg. Ranch/Avg. Fage Average Eff. 35 58, Eff. 35 Fage Average Frooms 3 Bedrooms 3 Reches 2 Reches 1,197 Sq.Ft. Reches None Reches Average Rooms	\$ 91.90 DESCRIPTION +(-) Adjustment h to Seller FHA lee None noted 12/9/2017 lege Heights Eastgate 60 Sq.Ft. 9,600 SF +10,000 nmercial/Avg. Residential/Avg. loch/Avg. Ranch/Avg. rage Average Eff. 35 58, Eff. 35 rage Average Fooms 3 Bedrooms 4 Bedrooms 4 Bedrooms 4 Bedrooms 4 Bedrooms	\$ 91.90 \$ ESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION +(-) Adjustment DESCRIPTION In to Seller FHA FHA In the None noted 12/9/2017 12/8/2017 It lege Heights Eastgate Pine Mean It lege Heights Eastgate Pine Mean It lege Heights Pine Mean It lege Average Average Average Average It lege None None It lege None None None None It lege None None None None It lege None None None None None None It lege None None	S 91.90 \$80.73 ESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION +(-) Adjustment DESCRIPTION In to Seller FHA FHA In the None noted None noted In 12/9/2017 12/8/2017 In the Seatgate Pine Meadows In the Pine Meadows	S	S	S	S

^{*} NOTICE: The Appraisal Institute publishes this form for use by appraisers where the appraiser deems use of the form appropriate. Depending on the assignment, the appraiser may need to provide additional data, analysis and work product not called for in this form. The Appraisal Institute plays no role in completing the form and disclaims any responsibility for the data, analysis or any other work product provided by the individual appraiser(s).

Al Reports® Al-100.04 Summary Appraisal Report · Residential

© Appraisal Institute 2013, All Rights Reserved

January 201

120,000

Indication of Value by Sales Comparison Approach

File No. RI 18017X-F

Supplemental Addendum

			1 110 11	o. ILLIOUI/A L	
Client	City of Pensacola				
Property Address	6100 Tippin Ave		·	·	
City	Pensacola	County Escambia	State FL	Zip Code 32504	•
Owner	Gordon E. and Mary E. Thompson				

Comments:

Sales Comparison Approach:

I performed a search for recent comparable improved sales to compare to the subject property. My search revealed a total of 21 sales occurring in the last year ranging in price from \$55,000 to \$174,000 with an average price of \$115,510 and a median price of \$113,000. However, many of the homes were either in superior condition, located in a superior location as compared to the subject and/or much larger in size. Of the 12 sales located, the three selected for comparison purposes were considered to be the most comparable to the subject.

Sales two and three are located in inferior location requiring an upward location adjustment of \$5,000 and \$2,000.

All three sales required an upward site size adjustment of \$10,000 to compare to the subject's land area.

The subject includes two bathrooms. Sales one and three included two bathrooms with sale two requiring an upward bath adjustment of \$3,000.

The size of the subject is larger than all the three sales. Using an depreciated cost new adjustment of \$15/SF for the difference in size, an upward size adjustment of \$1,250 is applied to sale one with an upward adjustment of \$2,700 applied to sale two and an upward adjustment of \$800 applied to sale three.

All three comparables had central HVAC and required a downward adjustment of \$2,000 to compare to the subject's window unit and gas heaters.

Sales one and two included one-car garages with sale three having a one-car carport. A downward adjustment of \$2,000 is applied to sales one and two with a downward adjustment of \$1,000 applied to sale three.

Adjustments were applied to sales one and three to compare to the subject's two workshops.

Once the above noted adjustments have been applied, the three comparable sales reflect a narrow range from \$105,500 to \$122,300. With about equal weight to each adjusted sale, I reconcile the subject's value via the sales comparison approach at \$120,000.

Client:	City of Pensacola	Client File #:	T6100
Subject Property:	6100 Tippin Ave, Pensacola, FL 32504	Appraisal File #:	RL18017X-E

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS

This appraisal is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- This report is prepared using forms developed and copyrighted by the Appraisal Institute. However, the content, analyses, and opinions set forth in this report are the sole product of the appraiser. The Appraisal Institute is not liable for any of the content, analyses, or opinions set forth herein.
- No responsibility is assumed for matters legal in character or nature. No opinion is rendered as to title, which is assumed to be good and marketable. All existing liens, encumbrances, and assessments have been disregarded, unless otherwise noted, and the property is appraised as though free and clear, having responsible ownership and competent management.
- I have examined the property described herein exclusively for the purposes of identification and description of the real property. The objective of our data collection is to develop an opinion of the highest and best use of the subject property and make meaningful comparisons in the valuation of the property. The appraiser's observations and reporting of the subject improvements are for the appraisal process and valuation purposes only and should not be considered as a warranty of any component of the property. This appraisal assumes (unless otherwise specifically stated) that the subject is structurally sound and all components are in working condition.
- I will not be required to give testimony or appear in court because of having made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made in advance, or as otherwise required by law.
- I have noted in this appraisal report any significant adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, depreciation, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) discovered during the data collection process in performing the appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, I have no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and have assumed that there are no such conditions and make no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. I will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because I am not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable public and/or private sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- I will not disclose the contents of this appraisal report except as provided for in the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, and/or applicable federal, state or local laws.
- The Client is the party or parties who engage an appraiser (by employment contract) in a specific assignment. A party receiving a copy of this report from the client does not, as a consequence, become a party to the appraiser-client relationship. Any person who receives a copy of this appraisal report as a consequence of disclosure requirements that apply to an appraiser's client, does not become an intended user of this report unless the client specifically identified them at the time of the assignment. The appraiser's written consent and approval must be obtained before this appraisal report can be conveyed by anyone to the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media.
- If this valuation conclusion is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations, it is assumed that the improvements will be completed competently and without significant deviation.

VALUE DEFINITION

☐ Alternate Value Definition (attached)

MARKET VALUE is defined as the most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller each acting prudently and knowledgeably, and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby:

- 1. buyer and seller are typically motivated;
- 2. both parties are well informed or well advised and acting in what they consider their own best interests;
- 3. a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market;
- 4. payment is made in terms of cash in U.S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and
- the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions granted by anyone associated with the sale.

Source: The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal, 5th ed., Appraisal Institute

^{*} NOTICE: The Appraisal Institute publishes this form for use by appraisers where the appraiser deems use of the form appropriate. Depending on the assignment, the appraiser may need to provide additional data, analysis and work product not called for in this form. The Appraisal Institute plays no role in completing the form and disclaims any responsibility for the data, analysis or any other work product provided by the individual appraiser(s).

Client:	City of Pensacola	Client File #:	T6100
Subject Property:	6100 Tippin Ave, Pensacola, FL 32504	Appraisal File #:	RL18017X-E

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:

- The statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct.
- The reported analysis, opinions, and conclusions are limited only by the report assumptions and limiting conditions, and are my personal, unbiased professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions.
- I have no present (unless specified below) or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no (unless specified below) personal interest with respect to the parties involved.
- I have no bias with respect to any property that is the subject of this report or to the parties involved with this assignment.
- My engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon the developing or reporting predetermined results.
- My compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this appraisal.
- My analysis, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- Individuals who have provided significant real property appraisal assistance are named below. The specific tasks performed by those named are outlined in the Scope of Work section of this report. None ■ Name(s) As previously identified in the Scope of Work section of this report, the signer(s) of this report certify to the inspection of the property that is the subject of this report as follows: Property inspected by Appraiser ∀es □ No Property inspected by Co-Appraiser ☐ Yes ■ No Services provided, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of this report within the three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment: None ☐ Specify services provided:

ADDITIONAL CERTIFICATION FOR APPRAISAL INSTITUTE MEMBERS

Appraisal Institute Designated Member, Candidate for Designation, or Practicing Affiliate Certify:

- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the requirements of the Code of Professional Ethics and the Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice of the Appraisal Institute, which include the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- The use of this report is subject to the requirements of the Appraisal Institute relating to review by its duly authorized representatives.
- As of the date of this report, I have completed the continuing education program of the Appraisal Institute. APPRAISER: **CO-APPRAISER:** Signature Signature Rodger K. Lowerv, MAI Name Name Report Date 2/26/2018 Report Date Trainee \square Licensed Certified Residential Certified General ⋈ Trainee Licensed Certified Residential Certified General License # Cert. Gen. RZ1922 License # State Florida State

* NOTICE: The Appraisal Institute publishes this form for use by appraisers where the appraiser deems use of the form appropriate. Depending on the assignment, the appraiser may need to provide additional data, analysis and work product not called for in this form. The Appraisal Institute plays no role in completing the form and disclaims any responsibility for the data, analysis or any other work product provided by the individual appraiser(s).


Expiration Date 11/30/2018

I am a Designated Member of the Appraisal Institute.

Expiration Date


Site Map

Client	City of Pensacola				
Property Address	6100 Tippin Ave				
City	Pensacola	County Escambia	State FL	Zip Code 32504	
Owner	Gordon E. and Mary E. Thompson				




Flood Map

Client	City of Pensacola			
Property Address	6100 Tippin Ave			
City	Pensacola	County Escambia	State FL	Zip Code 32504
Owner	Gordon E. and Mary E. Thompson			




Building Sketch

Client	City of Pensacola			
Property Address	6100 Tippin Ave			
City	Pensacola	County Escambia	State FL	Zip Code 32504
Owner	Gordon E. and Mary E. Thompson			



Location Map

Client	City of Pensacola			
Property Address	6100 Tippin Ave			
City	Pensacola	County Escambia	State FL	Zip Code 32504
Owner	Gordon E. and Mary E. Thompson			



Client	City of Pensacola			
Property Address	6100 Tippin Ave			
City	Pensacola	County Escambia	State FL	Zip Code 32504
Owner	Gordon E. and Mary E. Thompson			



Subject Front



Subject Utility

Client	City of Pensacola			
Property Address	6100 Tippin Ave			
City	Pensacola	County Escambia	State FL	Zip Code 32504
Owner	Gordon E. and Mary E. Thompson			




Street Scene



Living Room

Client	City of Pensacola			
Property Address	6100 Tippin Ave			
City	Pensacola	County Escambia	State FL	Zip Code 32504
Owner	Gordon E. and Mary E. Thompson			



Bedroom



Bedroom

Client	City of Pensacola			
Property Address	6100 Tippin Ave			
City	Pensacola	County Escambia	State FL	Zip Code 32504
Owner	Gordon E. and Mary E. Thompson			




Bedroom



Bathroom

Client	City of Pensacola			
Property Address	6100 Tippin Ave			
City	Pensacola	County Escambia	State FL	Zip Code 32504
Owner	Gordon E. and Mary E. Thompson			



Kitchen



Family Room

Client	City of Pensacola			
Property Address	6100 Tippin Ave			
City	Pensacola	County Escambia	State FL	Zip Code 32504
Owner	Gordon E. and Mary E. Thompson			



Comparable #1



Comparable #2

Client	City of Pensacola			
Property Address	6100 Tippin Ave			
City	Pensacola	County Escambia	State FL	Zip Code 32504
Owner	Gordon E. and Mary E. Thompson			



Comparable #3