

wood shutters were made of tropical hardwood and very durable for this climate; he provided an example for the Board's review. Chairperson Salter addressed the first-floor corner room facing the east and south elevations and asked about the decorative and non-decorative windows and change in the mullion pattern. Mr. Read explained the decorative windows pertained to the front of the house, and it made no sense to not have the decorative element; the south side was a porch; putting a 1 over 1 on the east elevation would ruin the whole effect. He explained their opinion was based on what felt right from the ground view. Board Member Spencer was satisfied with the applicant's response. Board Member Villegas asked for clarification of the shutter color, and Mr. Reed indicated "Mobile Green" (dark green) had been recommended.

**Board Member Mead made a motion to approve, seconded by Board Member Yee. Board Member Villegas suggested an amendment to clarify the color as Mobile Green and that it be included in the submission. Chairperson Salter agreed because there was no sample of the final color, it should be submitted through an abbreviated review; it was accepted. It was noted North Hill had no objection to the project. Board Member Ramos explained the shutter example was in Mobile Green and asked to amend if they returned with any other color than Mobile Green, it would go through an abbreviated review; it was accepted. The motion then carried unanimously.**

<b>Item 3</b>	<b>420 E. Zarragossa Street</b>	<b>PHD</b>
<b>Noncontributing Structure</b>		<b>HC-1 / Wood Cottages</b>
<b>and Modern Infill Structure</b>		
<b>Action taken: Approved.</b>		

Mark Chastain is seeking approval for exterior repairs and renovations to a noncontributing structure. Staff explained landscaping would not be part of the presentation.

Mr. Alcala presented to the Board and stated the owners wanted to install new gutters and downspouts, and they had designed a simple box to match the existing colors and blend in with the existing features. Chairperson Salter agreed the proposed elements would blend in with the existing structure. Mr. Alcala confirmed the roof now drains into the scupper and advised the two outlets would spill into the gutter, and they would install two new downspouts on the ends of those gutters on the inside corner of the east side of the balcony and the northwest corner of the same balcony, both tucked in.

**Board Member Spencer made a motion to approve, seconded by Board Member Ramos, and it carried unanimously.**

<b>Item 4</b>	<b>909 N. Barcelona St</b>	<b>NHPD</b>
<b>Contributing Structure</b>		<b>PR-1AAA</b>
<b>Action taken: Approved.</b>		

Professional Electrical Service, Inc. is seeking approval to add solar panels to the roof of a contributing structure. Twenty-five (25) panels are proposed to be added to the west and east sides of primary structure's roof. Staff explained the panels were placed around the dormers, with the solar meter and utility boxes on the rear side of the house hidden from view. The State Statute language was also provided to the Board.

Mr. Marlow presented to the Board. Chairperson Salter noted North Hill had no objections to this project. Board Member Mead questioned why the ARB was reviewing if the State has been preemptive. Historic Preservation Planner Harding explained in the past, the ARB had commented on the placement of the panels, and it was required by ordinance. Board Member Mead explained the Board appeared to be functionally preempted, and the City should have some general policies to reflect the placement and take it administratively out of the hands of the Board; Assistant City Attorney Lindsay advised she would bring this to the attention of the City Attorney. Staff advised

the fence policy had been changed to allow them to be considered in an abbreviated review. Mr. Marlow advised their goal in placement of the panels was for maximum production, but they tried to keep with the integrity of the home and stay off the front. Historic Preservation Planner Harding advised these types of projects were reviewed by staff, the ARB Chairperson, and Advisor before presentation.

**Board Member Mead made a motion to submit these types of items for abbreviated review; if the reviewer thought necessary, it could be forwarded the Board for review.** Chairperson Salter indicated he did not want to give up jurisdiction totally but agreed an abbreviated review would be a more efficient way to proceed. Assistant City Attorney Lindsay advised the motion had been made and if it were seconded and the Board directed the City Attorney's Office to change the procedures, it would. **Chairperson Salter seconded the motion.** Assistant City Attorney Lindsay pointed out it was not on the Board's agenda to make this motion, and it was not an item noticed to the public, but the Board could proceed with it. Board Member Mead explained just because it was not on the agenda did not mean the Board could not move on it. **Chairperson Salter clarified that this was a policy the Board would like to pursue. Board Member Mead advised this was the direction to staff to write a policy for abbreviated review with referral back to the Board was his understanding of the motion. The motion carried unanimously. Board Member Mead made a motion to approve the application, seconded by Board Member Villegas, and it carried unanimously.**

<b>Item 5</b>	<b>430 E. Intendencia Street</b>	<b>PHD</b>
<b>Noncontributing Structure</b>		<b>HR-1 / Wood Cottages</b>

**Action taken: Approved with Comments.**

Professional Electrical Service, Inc. is seeking approval to add solar panels to the roof of a non-contributing structure. Forty-two (42) panels are proposed to be added to the west and east sides of the primary structure's roof.

Chairperson Salter noted there appeared to be electrical panels behind a gate and wanted confirmation that the new equipment would be located behind the existing gate, and Mr. Marlow stated they would install as close to the meter as possible.

**Board Member Fogarty made a motion to approve as submitted, seconded by Board Member Mead. Chairperson Salter proposed an amendment for clarification that the equipment must be mounted behind the fence; it was accepted, and the motion carried unanimously.**

<b>Item 6</b>	<b>214 W. Blount Street</b>	<b>NHPD</b>
<b>Noncontributing Structure</b>		<b>PR-1AAA</b>

**Action taken: Approved.**

Jarret Brelsford is seeking approval to paint the exterior of a brick residence. Sections of the brick exterior, including brick surrounding all windows, have been painted in the past with tan and black latex paint. The applicant is proposing to use ROMABIO which is a breathable, mineral based, and toxin-free paint made specifically for brick and masonry features. The final product also appears as a natural finish rather than leaving a glossy or shiny surface.

Mr. Brelsford presented to the Board and stated the home was the 1948 Miller A. Gilmore house. Unfortunately, over the years there were many revisions to the outside with glue, paint, and wood which should not be there, and they were looking forward to getting it cleaned up and more unified. Board Member Mead asked for a sample of the new product, and Mr. Brelsford stated the sample in the packet was Richmond White which had one application shown. Richard Ingram Painting would reglaze all the windows. Chairperson Salter stated when he read the Code 12-3-10, Section 2 (d) stated for existing buildings and new construction, the Board could review the exterior design