IN THE CIRCUIT COURT IN AND FOR ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA

21 W. ROMANA, LLC., a Florida limited liability company,

Plaintiff,

VS.

CASE NO. 2021 CA 001247

RED FEATHER DEVELOPERS, LLC, a Florida limited liability partnership, and DRUG FREE WORKPLACES, INC., a Florida corporation,

Defendants.	

ANSWER OF DEFENDANT, RED FEATHER DEVELOPERS, LLC,¹ TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF

COMES NOW, Defendant, RED FEATHER DEVELOPERS, LLC ("RED FEATHER"), 1 by and through its undersigned counsel, and answers Plaintiff's Complaint for Declaratory Relief as follows2:

- 1. Admitted this is a declaratory judgment action and that RED FEATHER owns a portion of the property depicted in Exhibit "A".
 - 2. Without knowledge.
 - 3. Admitted that RED FEATHER is a Florida limited liability company.
 - 4. Admitted.
- 5. Without knowledge. Denied that the property described is a "benefitted property."
- 6. Without knowledge. Denied that the property described is a "benefitted property."

¹ RED FEATHER has been substituted as a party Defendant in place of Studer Properties, LLP by Order entered July 20, 2021.

² Allegations not expressly addressed are denied.

- 7. Denied.
- 8. Admitted that RED FEATHER is the record owner and that it acquired title from Studer Properties. Otherwise, without knowledge.
 - 9. Without knowledge.
 - 10. Without knowledge.
- 11. Admitted that DFW is the owner of 25 W. Romana Street. Denied that the Plaintiff's property is a "benefitted property."
- 12. Admitted that Exhibit "A" appears to depict the properties referenced. All other inferences or allegations in this paragraph are denied.
- 13. Denied that there is any easement. Admitted that Plaintiff has, from time to time, used RED FEATHER'S property in a manner that is not inconsistent with RED FEATHER'S use. Thus, Plaintiff's use is deemed to be permissive and not adverse.
 - 14. Denied.
- 15. a. Admitted that Plaintiff has traversed across RED FEATHER'S property from time to time; however, that use was not inconsistent with RED FEATHER'S use, and thus was permissive and not adverse.
 - b. See response to 15(a) above.
 - c Denied
- 16. Admitted that RED FEATHER intends to develop its property in a manner permitted by law. Admitted that Exhibit "D" reflects a submission made to the City's architectural review board. Otherwise, Denied.
 - 17. Denied.
 - 18. Denied
 - 19. Denied that any prescriptive easement exists.
 - 20. Denied.

- 21. Denied.
- 22. Admitted that this lawsuit, through slandering RED FEATHER'S title, has created a need for a declaratory judgment. All other allegations or inferences in this paragraph are denied.
- 23. Denied that Plaintiff has met the pre-requisite requirements of establishment of a prescriptive easement including, *without limitation*, continuous use, adverse use, and inconsistent use.

WHEREFORE, RED FEATHER demands a judgment of dismissal along with the award of its costs incurred in this action, and for any other relief the Court may deem proper.

/s/R. Todd Harris

EDWARD P. FLEMING
Florida Bar No.: 615927
R. TODD HARRIS
Florida Bar No.: 651931
MCDONALD FLEMING LLP
719 S. Palafox Street
Pensacola, FL 32502
(850) 477-0660; (850) 202-8529
harrisservice@pensacolalaw.com
epfleming@pensacolalaw.com
Counsel for Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing was electronically filed with this Clerk of Court on this 20th day of July, 2021 using the Florida E-Portal system which will send notification of such filing to the following:

R. Douglas Goldin, Esquire Liberis Law Firm 212 West Indendencia Street Pensacola, FL 32502 Email: dgoldin@liberislaw.com
assistant@liberislaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

/s/R. Todd Harris

R. TODD HARRIS