

Environmental Advisory Board

Agenda

Thursday, February 2, 2023, 2:00 PM

Hagler/Mason Conference Room, 2nd Floor, City Hall

One or more members of City Council may be in attendance. The meeting can be watched via live stream at cityofpensacola.com/video.

CALL TO ORDER AND WELCOME

ROLL CALL AND DETERMINATION OF QUORUM

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

1. 23-00039 APPROVAL OF ENVIRONMENTAL ADVISORY BOARD MEETING

MINUTES OF JANUARY 5, 2023

Recommendation: That the Environmental Advisory Board approve the meeting minutes

from the January 5, 2023 Board meeting.

Sponsors: Kristin Bennett

Attachments: EAB Minutes.01.05.2023

PRESENTATIONS

SUSTAINABILITY COORDINATOR COMMUNICATIONS

ACTION ITEMS

DISCUSSION ITEMS

2. 23-00109 DISCUSSION: USE OF TREE TRUST FUND FOR TREE REMOVAL

Sponsors: Kristin Bennett

Attachments: Council Item 23-00010

Tree Trust Fund Removal List

3. 23-00110 DISCUSSION: 2023 GOALS, 2022 ACCOMPLISHMENTS, SPECIFIC

PRESENTATIONS DESIRED FOR THE COMING YEAR

Sponsors: Kristin Bennett

BOARD MEMBER COMMENTS

PUBLIC COMMENT

ADJOURNMENT

If any person decides to appeal any decision made with respect to any matter considered at such meeting, he will need a record of the proceedings, and that for such purpose he may need to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made, which record includes the testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based.

The City of Pensacola adheres to the Americans with Disabilities Act and will make reasonable accommodations for access to City services, programs and activities. Please call 435-1606 (or TDD 435-1666) for further information. Request must be made at least 48 hours in advance of the event in order to allow the City time to provide the requested services.



Memorandum

File #: 23-00039 Environmental Advisory Board 2/2/2023

ACTION ITEM

SPONSOR: Kristin Bennett, Chairperson

SUBJECT:

APPROVAL OF ENVIRONMENTAL ADVISORY BOARD MEETING MINUTES OF JANUARY 5, 2023

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Environmental Advisory Board approve the meeting minutes from the January 5, 2023 Board meeting.

SUMMARY:

On January 5, 2023. The EAB held a meeting, this item seeks approval of the minutes from that meeting.

STAFF CONTACT:

Don Kraher, Council Executive

ATTACHMENTS:

1) EAB Minutes 1.5.2023



Environmental Advisory Board

Minutes

Thursday, January 5, 2023, 2:00 PM

Hagler/Mason Conference Room

Members Present: Kristin Bennett, Neil Richards, Blase Butts, Kyle Kopytchak, Jay Massey,

Katie Dineen

Members Absent: Kelly Hagen, Katie Fox (watching via teams)

Others Present: Don Kraher, Council Executive, Sonja Gaines, Council Assistant, Mark

Jackson, Sustainability Coordinator, Christian Wagley, Eric Schneider,

Ted Reese

CALL TO ORDER AND WELCOME:

The meeting was called to order by Chair Bennett. At the time the meeting was called to order, a quorum was not present. Chair Bennett announced that there was a vacancy on the Board as well as the current Board member terms expire in March of 2024.

ROLL CALL AND DETERMINATION OF QUORUM:

Member Dineen arrived (2:15 p.m.) and a quorum was established.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

 23-00039 APPROVAL OF ENVIRONMENTAL ADVISORY BOARD MEETING MINUTES OF DECEMBER 1, 2022

Recommendation: That the Environmental Advisory Board approve the meeting minutes

from the December 1, 2022 Board meeting.

Sponsors: Kristin Bennett

Attachments: EAB Minutes 12.01.2022

Member Richards moved for approval of the December 1, 2022 minutes, seconded by Member Butts. The motion carried 5 - 0, with three members absent for the vote.

PRESENTATIONS:

There were no presentations.

SUSTAINABILITY COORDINATOR COMMUNICATIONS:

The city has completed the tree inventory. There are over 13,000 trees in the inventory on city property/city right of way, as well as the tree canopy. They are still importing the data and pictures of each one of them. Florida Arbor Day is coming up on January 21. They will be holding a ceremony at City Hall on Monday, January 23 as well as a contest to give out three 15-gallon trees. Details will be on social media later in the month.

They have applied for four water quality grants to help improve water quality in Pensacola Bay and Bayou Texar.

He shared some information from the arborist. The arborist has had several calls from people applying for a tree removal permit who claim they have to remove trees because their insurance carrier claims they are old or damaged. The Florida Chapter of ISA put together something years ago, which our arborist worked on back in 2008, that is a letter individuals can send to the insurance company that basically indicates that their agent or company is not qualified to do an uncertified tree risk assessment. This is a tool that can be used by homeowners with their insurance company.

He also suggested looking at the City of Miami, Tallahassee, West Palm Beach regarding their advisory boards.

(Member Kopytchak arrived)

Member Butts indicated that he looked at cities roughly the same size as Pensacola. Some had them, some referred to the County and the names of the boards are all over the place. He'll bring it up under the viability discussion.

Member Dineen inquired if free trees would be given out to the public at the library during Arbor Day celebration. Sustainability Coordinator indicated that they would be, through UF IFAS, at the Saturday, January 21st event.

ACTION ITEMS:

2. <u>23-00042</u> SUPPORT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 56-22 – PROHIBITING

SMOKING AND USE OF VAPOR-GENERATING DEVICES WITHIN

CITY OF PENSACOLA PUBLIC PARKS

Recommendation: That the Environmental Advisory Board communicate to City

Council their support of the passage of this ordinance.

Sponsors: Blase Butts

Attachments: Revised Ord. 56-22

Member Butts pointed out the pollution from all the filters. One of the largest sources of micro plastics in the water comes from the filters. It is a good idea. The second reading of the proposed ordinance is at the next City Council meeting, and it would be a good idea to have someone from the board there to support it. From Ocean Hour cleaning up the beaches and some of our parks, the number of cigarette butts they pick up fills a container. He would be glad to go to the Council Meeting as a representative of Ocean Hour.

Chair Bennett indicated that the Board would need to act and vote on who would represent the Board and what would be presented. An individual board member could attend and offer their support; however, they could not say they were representing the Board, without action being taken by the Board.

Member Dineen asked what the punishment is for violation.

Council Executive stated that it falls under Section 1.1.8 of the City Code, which is a fine up to \$500 and imprisonment not exceeding 60 days. There is some discussion about amending the current proposed ordinance and placing a fine amount in the proposed ordinance, a fine not to exceed \$25 on the first offense and \$50 for each subsequent offense or something like that. Legal is looking at that for a possible amendment. It would be enforced by the City Police and/or Code Enforcement. Code Enforcement doesn't work at night or on the weekends.

The Board could take action to have the Board Chair write a letter of support, which could be delivered to City Council. He would send it to them early and have a copy at their place so that it becomes part of the official record.

Member Massey made a motion to direct the Board Chair to prepare a letter of support. Member Kopytchak seconded the motion.

Member Dineen stated that she does not support the motion. She felt the \$500 fine and possible jail time was too extreme and if we want people to not litter that there were other ways.

Council Executive indicated that previously to this last legislative session, the city was preempted from prohibiting smoking in city parks and beaches. Legislation passed that permitted cities to ban smoking and the use of vaping devices, excluding unfiltered cigars. It allows cities to now regulate smoking and vaping in city owned parks. The fines mentioned were the maximum. It will be discretionary on the enforcement. The police officers and code enforcement officers don't give fines. They issue citations and the court system determines what the fine is. Except for some traffic citations, Section 1.1.8 of the City Code covers most of the fines, including the littering ordinance, in the City Code. That's why there is language that says except as otherwise provided herein.

Member Kopytchak stated that he is all in favor of protecting the littering. He is not in favor of taxing the police and code enforcement with the enforcement of the ordinance. He will not be supporting this.

Chair Bennett stated that you cannot legislate courtesy. How far do we go on rights? There is the offense to individuals for smoking in City parks as well as the littering issue that can already be enforced. The littering aspect is not being addressed in this proposed ordinance.

Member Massey stated that what the Board is standing on is the environmental issue.

Member Butts stated that he would expect there would be a certain impact for having no smoking signs placed in city parks. The sign may stop some people. If we can reduce the number of cigarette butts in city parks by 10% this is well worth doing. Any impact that can change behavior a little bit is progress.

Chair Bennett mentioned instead of taking a position one way or another on the proposed ordinance that the Board consider the educational side of putting forward a recommendation for educational signage and materials.

Sustainability Coordinator stated that could be conflicting information if the ordinance is passed. It works great at a building where there is a threshold. There is no set threshold at a city park. No where in the ordinance does it say the city will be putting up signs. It will be like a lot of ordinances on the record, there is just not a sign everywhere. The city has 93 parks.

After further comments, **Member Massy withdrew his motion. Member Kopytchak withdrew the second.**

Chair Bennett offered that a letter could be crafted indicating that the Environmental Advisory Board discussed this proposed ordinance, and these are the opinions/concerns that were expressed. As an individual, you can get up and say anything.

Member Butts indicated that he supports the proposed ordinance, with lower fines of \$25 for first offense and \$50 for subsequent offenses.

Member Massy stated he supported it from an environmental, educational perspective.

Member Richards supports, as written.

Member Dineen abstained from comment.

Member Kopytchak stated he does not support it.

Chair Bennett stated that she would craft a letter that expresses there was not consensus among the Board; however, opinions were expressed...

Council Executive interrupted and stated that if the Board is voting on something, you cannot abstain. If you don't have a motion and second you are not doing anything as a Board. You are doing it as individuals. If you want to express it as a body, you must have a motion, a second and you must vote on it.

Member Butts moved that the Environmental Advisory Board supports the Ordinance on an environmental perspective. Member Dineen seconded the motion and it carried 5 – 1, with Member Kopytchak dissenting and two members absent for the vote.

Chair Bennett indicated that she would work with the Council Executive on preparing a letter to the Council.

DISCUSSION ITEMS:

23-00040 BOARD VIABILITY

Sponsors: Kristin Bennett

Chair Bennett opened discussion on this item. Sustainability Coordinator explained that basically the Environmental Advisory Board functions as a tool, for the citizens to communicate to the Mayor and City Council as well as for the Mayor and City Council to vet things out with the Board. The Board needs to figure out what it is they want to do or continue to do. Using the Board's review of the tree ordinance which has been ongoing for a year, he indicated that the Board should be looking at areas they want to see improved, giving specifics, instead of going through the ordinance section by section. Look at other cities and similar boards and what they are doing and how they are utilized, so that the Board doesn't sit in meetings without taking any action. As environmental professionals the discussions are valuable and end up being actions on staff's side, helping the mayor and or City Council gain more information and perspectives on issues.

Member Butts relayed information he researched on the City of Sarasota's Tree Advisory Board and the advisory role they played. Another city used their board as a source of information on various issues, also a source on grants, the conversion on the use of septic tanks, a program for monitoring storm drains for sources of debris, someone keeping track of changes in state legislation, since there are various preemption laws on environmental subjects. One of the cities, as part of their committee, had an Arbor Day as part of their educational initiative. It reminded him of the Earth Day Celebration that the city could participate in with a booth featuring what the city is doing to make a better earth, the solar panels, etc. The Board could volunteer at the booth. What can the Board do? Have to keep in mind city employees, department support. Have to be practical and also, who is going to do the follow-up. If you start a program, who is going to monitor it and is it getting any results. Another city's board served as an appeal board for citizen complaints when they didn't get any answers from certain departments. Another board looked at what the city could do for hurricane recovery. He also mentioned Sarasota's Water/Sewer Advisory Committee, the City of Bonita Springs reviews land development sites prior to the redevelopment to be sure heritage trees are protected and preserved, does site visits with city employees, has education and poster contests. Port Charlotte starts boards to look at specific land parcels for environmental impacts. Horizon West, a planned community outside of Orlando made sure one of their representatives was on the county's environmental advisory board. Bradenton

only has a Land and Conservation Board concentrating on Tree City application, Arbor Day, a city-wide tree canopy study and advice on specific sections of land use regulation. Maybe someone from the EAB should attend the Soil and Water Conservation meetings of the county, maybe attend ECUA meetings.

Member Massey mentioned the role of the Sustainability Coordinator and how the Board could be a resource for him in doing research. From a viability perspective, the Board is in a different place than 2013 and before that. We evolve and it is an evolutionary thing.

Chair Bennett pointed out that the EAB did support and acted on the city hiring an arborist and the sustainability coordinator, which was a positive impact, with times, administrations, and priorities changing.

Member Dineen thanked Member Butts for his research into what other cities are doing. She values time and effectiveness. How does the Board use their time to positively impact the city and be an effective Board. She liked the idea of the committee being involved in an Earth Day celebration that could be an annual marker to say what the Board has done in the past year. It would show how viable and effective the Board has been. She appreciates the various discussions that occur following the Sustainability Coordinator's updates and values the discussions and what is happening at the present day to day. She supports ways to make the time spent at the meeting more viable and to continue discussions that are more fluid and project based.

Member Kopytchak stated that in the past there was more action from the City Council down to the EAB. There was the fish hatchery, the superfund sites, there was a lot more direction down from City Council that directed the board, which has stopped. The ordinance about smoking, the adding of the protected tree, the tree fund doesn't go through the board. The Board gets the afterthought. We used to be directed by Council to take on tasks. There is a difference now.

Chair Bennett pointed out the language in the enabling ordinance. The Council did direct the Board to look at several issues, which they did and reported back. The Board can ask the Council may we look at "x, y, z" and the process it takes to do that. Perhaps the Board needs to look at making a change in the enabling legislation that provides more leeway for the Board. Should the Board have someone tracking what is going on in the city?

Council Executive stated there is no desire on the Council to do away with the EAB. There is a desire to instead of the EAB seeing issues come down from Council, that the EAB is making those recommendations to Council. The EAB recommends that the City protect "X" tree and "X" tree be added to the protection list. Maybe it needs to say that the EAB looks at environmental items and their impacts and then makes recommendations to or something of that nature. If you look at Pembrook Pines as an example, in their list of duties, there is a lot of review and recommend, research, and different things like that and then it goes up to the City Commission.

Chair Bennett asked if there were any procedural items the Board would like to see, i.e., one former member expressed frustration because there was no input on the agenda, or if a suggestion was made on the agenda, they felt like it had been disregarded.

Sustainability Coordinator mentioned having the Board adhere to following Robert's Rules, allowing a certain amount of time to discuss an item then move on.

Member Dineen liked having open discussions and opinions and then move on. Just having open, honest, communications at meetings feels like a respect to ourselves and others.

Chair Bennett also liked the education and outreach aspects of the Board, siting the idea of having a booth at Earth Day, letting citizens know the Board exists, they can write a letter to the Board. There are many active members of the public; however, Christian is the only person attending the meetings every month. How can the Board reach out and present the opportunity for issues to be brought to the Board. She committed to a more organized approach and a better functioning of the meetings and Robert's Rules of Order.

Council Executive indicated that he will review the comments made and will probably have to amend the enabling ordinance to allow for more freedom. Part of the focus is the environment. So, looking at things from an environmental standpoint vs all of the other internal things that will get handled by someone else. The focus should be on environmental concerns.

Member Dineen expressed a desire to have the Board look at the possibility of recommending having native plant gardens within City public parks. She would like to have a native plant person come to the Board and have an open discussion about the benefits native plant gardens provide to the habitat and environment.

Member Massey suggested having a year-end report from the Board on what's been accomplished.

Council Executive stated that it would be helpful to have the Board's 2023 goals, that they could bring forward.

Member Richards mentioned reaching out to the various neighborhood associations to see what environmental issues they may have.

In regard to the tree ordinance, Chair Bennett ask what are the issues that really need to be addressed? We've heard from several people and staff on what needs to be addressed. She wants the city arborist to do the protected tree list. Overall are there issues that need to be addressed? Does the mitigation amount need to be addressed? Let's not go line by line and redline.

So where do we go from here. For next month, everyone bring back their 2023 goals, what we want to accomplish, work with the Council Executive on what has been accomplished, review

Robert's Rules of Order, and are there specific presentations the Board would want to have, including staff members?

Member Richards mentioned having the FPL arborist do a presentation on their trimming practices on trees around the power lines. Also, the franchise agreement needs to, as much as the law allows, have protection of the trees and identifying what they do and when they are trimming and pruning, how they do it.

Member Kopytchak indicated that the FPL arborist is waiting to be asked to do a presentation and he would be glad to reach out to him for the next meeting.

4. 23-00041 REVIEW OF SECTION 12-6 OF THE TREE AND LANDSCAPE ORDINANCE

Sponsors: Kristin Bennett

There was no discussion on the Tree and Landscape Ordinance, other than what was mentioned under the viability of the Board discussion.

BOARD MEMBER COMMENTS:

Member Butts reported last year, Ocean Hour picked up more than 42,000 pounds of trash.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Christian Wagley offered encouragement to the Board on the value of their discussions on environmental issues and the importance of communicating with the council members and working with them to improve the city. He indicated that one thing that was mentioned during the transition team review was that their shouldn't be a protected tree list, but rather if a tree is of a certain size, it should be protected, unless it is on the Florida Exotic Pest Plant Council's list of truly invasive trees. Some trees are hard to tell apart.

On Wednesday, January 18, Dan Dailey, a longtime fair trade coffee roaster, is doing a presentation at the downtown library at 5:30 on a Better Model for Business. He is certified as a B corporation.

The last meeting of the Transition Team will be on January 11 at 8:30 a.m.

Sustainability Coordinator informed the Board that UF IFAS would be giving trees away at the Molino, Bellview and Tryon branch libraries on 1/21.

ADJOURNMENT:

There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 4:15 p.m.



Memorandum

File #: 23-00109 Environmental Advisory Board 2/2/2023

DISCUSSION ITEM

SPONSOR: Kristin Bennett, Chair

SUBJECT:

DISCUSSION: USE OF TREE TRUST FUND FOR TREE REMOVAL

SUMMARY:

At the January 19, 2023 meeting of the City Council, an item was passed that allowed the allocation of \$100,00 from the Tree Trust Fund for removal of and replacement of trees.

The use of these funds for tree removal was deemed to fall within the definition of "maintenance" under section 12-6-10 of the City Code. Because words mean something, these funds were allocated, in accordance with Section 12-6-10, prior to being "appropriated," it will have to come to the EAB for review.

This item allows the EAB to discuss the use of Tree Trust Funds for this type action.

PRIOR ACTION:

January 19, 2023 - City Council approved the allocation of \$100,000 from the Tree Trust Fund for Tree Removal and Replacement. The measure passed 4-3, with Council Members Brahier, Broughton and Bare dissenting.

STAFF CONTACT:

Don Kraher, Council Executive

ATTACHMENTS:

- 1) Council Item 23-00010 Request Funding from Tree Trust Fund
- 2) Tree Trust Fund Removal List

PRESENTATION: No

LEGISLATIVE ACTION ITEM

SPONSOR: D.C. Reeves, Mayor

SUBJECT:

APPROVAL TO USE TREE PLANTING TRUST FUNDING FOR THE REMOVAL OF TREES ON CITY RIGHTS-OF-WAY OR PUBLIC PROPERTY THAT HAVE BECOME HAZARDOUS TO PERSONS AND/OR PROPERTY AND INSTALLATION OF NEW PLANTINGS

RECOMMENDATION:

That City Council authorize the Parks and Recreation Department the approval to use Tree Planting Trust Funding for the removal of trees that have become hazardous to persons and/or property, and installation of a new tree at or near the location of the removed tree.

HEARING REQUIRED: No Hearing Required

SUMMARY:

The City's Parks and Recreation Department maintains a tree removal and trimming list that is constantly updated as trees are either removed or addressed. However, due to limited resources, staff has not been able to remove trees at a rate to offset the number of additional trees that are added to the list, so unfortunately some trees that need removal have been on the list for upwards to 2 years.

The trees that have been identified by the City's Arborist and Parks Superintendent as hazardous or dead may result in property damage or personal injury if not addressed in a timely manner. Therefore, as an initiative to address the trees deemed hazardous (36), although this number increases/decreases regularly, and to allow the staff to get caught up on the tree removal and trimming list, staff is requesting \$100,000.00 from the tree planting trust fund to remove at least 30 (if not all if project costs permit) of the hazardous trees and plant a new tree at or near the location of the removed tree. Of the \$100,000, staff intends to use \$90,000 for the removals and \$10,000 for the new tree plantings.

PRIOR ACTION:

None

FUNDING:

Budget: \$ 297,263.00 Tree Planting Trust Fund Appropriated Balance

Actual: \$ 100,000.00 Removal and New Tree Plantings

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

An appropriated balance in the amount of \$297,263.00 is currently available in the Tree Planting Trust Fund. The total cost of the removal and new tree planting shall not exceed \$100,000.00. Upon City Council approval, \$100,000.00 will be transferred from the current holding account to an account specifically for this project within the Tree Planting Trust Fund.

LEGAL REVIEW ONLY BY CITY ATTORNEY: Yes

12/28/2022

STAFF CONTACT:

Kerrith Fiddler, City Administrator
David Forte, Deputy City Administrator – Community Development
Adrian Stills, Parks and Recreation Director

ATTACHMENTS:

1) Parks and Recreation Tree Removal List

PRESENTATION: No

CITY OF PENSACOLA - PARKS AND RECREATION TREE REMOVAL LIST

Description	Address	Council District	Туре	Size	Height
Damaged tree in ROW	620 North H Street	7	Darlington Oak	50.5"	45'
Damaged tree	511 West Blount Street	7	Darlington Oak	30"	45'
Dead tree across from church	A St and Chase	7	Darlington Oak	46"	45'
Damaged trees (2) on the ROW	1515 E La Rua Street	6	Darlington Oak	32"	60'
Bad tree in ROW	1800 E DeSoto Street	6	Darlington Oak	26.5"	45'
Bad tree in ROW	1310 North 18th Avenue	6	Darlington Oak	22"	45'
Bad tree in ROW	1601 East Lloyd Street	6	Darlington Oak	39"	60'
Damaged tree in ROW	1821 East Maxwell Street	5	Darlington Oak	32"	45'
Bad tree in ROW	2121 Whaley Avenue	4	Darlington Oak	46"	60'
Bad tree in ROW and remove stump	1709 Fisher Street	5	Darlington Oak	41.5"	45'
Tree Concern on ROW	1510 East Bobe Street	5	Darlington Oak	27.5"	55'
Dead Tree in ROW	1500 East Cross Street	5	Darlington Oak	44"	50'
Dead tree in ROW	921 East Maxwell Street	5	Darlington Oak	28.5"	50'
Bad tree in ROW	808 East Baars Street	5	Darlington Oak	31"	50'
Dead tree in ROW	1741 East Baars Street	5	Darlington Oak	38.5"	55'
Dead tree in ROW	1575 East Texar Drive	5	Darlington Oak	26"	55'
Damaged tree in ROW	777 Tanglewood Drive	4	Darlington Oak	22"	55'
Damaged tree in ROW	5860 Limestone Road	1	Darlington Oak	28"	45'
Dead tree in ROW	3310 Bermuda Circle	3	Darlington Oak	44"	65'
Bad tree in ROW	2901 East Lloyd Street	4	Darlington Oak	36"	50'
Dead tree in ROW	709 East Hatton Street	5	Darlington Oak	37.5"	25'
Trees (3) growing together	1930 East Gonzalez Street	6	Laurel Oak	28.5"	65'
Bad tree in ROW	900 North Spring Street	6	Live Oak	30"	60'
Remove Stump and Trim Magnolia	1510 DeSoto Street	6	Magnolia	32.5"	15'
Dead tree in ROW	835 West Gregory Street	7	Pecan	8"	25'
Dead tree	1801 East Gonzalez Street,	6	Pine	24"	55'
Dead tree in ROW	1180 Ellison Drive	2	Pine	21.5"	45'
Dead tree by playground	EPH Lions Club	4	River Birch	16"	25'
Dead tree in ROW	1101 Bayou Blvd	4	Rotting 10'-trunk	27"	10'
Dead tree in park	Barrs Park 4080 Dunwoody	4	Sassafras	16"	25'
Bad tree in ROW	1330 East Mallory Street	5	Sweet Gum	39.5"	60'
Dead tree in ROW	1803 East Lakeview Avenue	5	Sweet Gum	30.5"	45'
FPL will remove from lines City will remove tree	728 North 17th Avenue	6	Water Oak	26"	35'

Note: All trees were evaluated by the City's Arborist



Memorandum

File #: 23-00110 Environmental Advisory Board 2/2/2023

DISCUSSION ITEM

SPONSOR: Kristin Bennett, Chair

SUBJECT:

DISCUSSION: 2023 GOALS, 2022 ACCOMPLISHMENTS, SPECIFIC PRESENTATIONS DESIRED

FOR THE COMING YEAR

SUMMARY:

This discussion item allows the EAB to discuss and present their 2023 Goals, their 2022 Accomplishments and Specific Presentations the Board would like to have in the coming year.

PRIOR ACTION:

None

STAFF CONTACT:

Don Kraher, Council Executive

ATTACHMENTS:

1) None

PRESENTATION: No